

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,010	11/27/2001	Stanislaw R. Burzynski	BURG:04910379.0049.NPUS0	9045
7	590 09/05/2003			
HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE			EXAMINER	
750 Bering Drive			JONES, DWAYNE C	
Houston, TX 77057-2198			JONES, DWATNE C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003	
				- \

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/995,010	BURZYNSKI, STAN	ISLAW R.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dwayne C Jones	1614	
The MAILING DATE f this communication appe	ears n the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation ally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceli</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>14-20,22-25,29 and 31</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-8,10-13,26 and 28</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is			ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer		<u> </u>	
10. Other:		Dwayre C Johes Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614	·

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims 1,3-8,10-13,26, and 28 are still considered open-ended claims with the word comprising present ,and consequently are rendered obvious inview of the prior art of record. In addition the skilled artisan would have been motivated to select pharmaceutically acceptable solvents, diluents, carriers, excipients along with the active agents, since the determination of these pharmaceutically acceptable adjuvants is well within the purview of the skilled artisan.